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## Presentation Outline

- Background and motivation
- Describe the advantages of hybrid-style course
- Define topical coverage and exam structure
- Discuss student performance for exams during the semester
- Obtain a benchmark for performance based on cumulative averages


## Background

- Significant growth \& demand for STEM graduates in U.S.
o Studies have shown that $50-85 \%$ of U.S. GDP growth is due to advances in science \& engineering*
- One roadblock to increasing Engineering graduation numbers is the issue of student retention
- A common bottleneck in Engineering is Statics
o $56 \%$ pass rate at Cal Poly Pomona
o 61\% pass rate at Univ of Texas - Pan American
o $65 \%$ pass rate at Wichita State for 1760 students
o Comparable $66 \%$ pass rate for $1^{\text {st }}$ author's hybrid classes
*Norm Augustine, U.S. News \& World Report, 8 June 2012


## Motivation for Benchmark Data

- Hybrid class by the first author has a comparable pass rate
- To increase retention and improve student success, interventions will be necessary
o However, the efficacy of interventions cannot be objectively assessed without a benchmark
- Goal is to obtain a benchmark for student performance over the course of the semester for a hybrid Statics class


## Advantages of Hybrid Course

- A hybrid course includes videos of concept development and example problems viewed outside of class
o The videos allow students to go over difficult concepts multiple times by pausing and rewinding the videos
o Dovetails with current students who desire study material any time, anywhere
- Opens up class time to solve additional examples and spend time on review prior to exams
- Class periods become available to increase the number of exams, each of which become a smaller fraction of the final grade
o Section coverage in each exam becomes limited rather than wideranging, so exams are more like quizzes in terms of coverage
o Students may be able to recover from one poor exam score compared to classes with only a few exams


## Organization and Topical Coverage

Different for 50 min class vs 60 \& 75 min classes

| Week | Exam | 50min Class [\# Lessons] | 60\&75min Class[\# Lessons] | Exam | Week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | Ch2 Force Vectors [4] | Ch2 Force Vectors [5] | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | Ch2 continued [1] \& Ch3 Force Equilibrium [2] | Ch3 Force Equilibrium [2] \& Ch 4 Moments [2] | 2 | 6 |
| 7 | 3 | Ch 4 Moments [4] | Ch4 continued [3] \& Ch5 Rigid Body Equilibrium [2] | 3 | 7 |
| 9 | 4 | Ch4 continued [1], <br> Ch5 Rigid Body Equilibrium <br> [3], \& Ch6 Trusses [1] | Ch5 continued [1] \& Ch6 Trusses \& $\frac{\text { Frames }}{\uparrow}$ [3] | 4 | 10 |
| 10 |  | Last Day for Withdrawal | Last Day for Withgrawal |  | 10 |
| 11 | 5 | Ch6 Trusses \& Frames [2] \& Ch7 Internal Forces [2] | Most difficult: Frames | 5 | 12 |
| 13 | 6 | Ch7 Internal Forces cont. <br> [1] \& Ch8 Friction [2] | Ch9 \& 10 Section Prop [5] | 6 | 15 |
| 15 | 7 | Ch9 \& 10 Section Prop [5] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Myose, Miller, and Rollins |  | 6 |

## Dataset for the Benchmark

- Dataset consists of 343 students in the first author's hybrid classes
o 152 students in four 50-minute sections with 7 regular exams
o 117 students in three 75-minute sections and 74 students in two 60minute sections (i.e., 191 total students) with 6 regular exams
o In addition to regular exams, all students took a prerequisite knowledge test at the start of the semester and a comprehensive final exam
- $\sim 11 \%$ of the students withdrew from the course with a grade of W
o Some students remained in the class even though they were flunking at $10^{\text {th }}$ week, often to maintain financial aid or immigration status
o Those who did not take the exams were not a part of the cumulative averages - change in class composition can affect statistical results


## Individual Exam Averages Over the Course of a Semester

- Performance by 50-min class was lower than 60-\& 75 -min classes
- Reason: they were less capable as indicated by lower prerequisite test score (topic of earlier paper)
- Exam 1 is review so many do very well, but this is not evident from the average
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## Individual Exam Averages Over the Course of a Semester

- Result on exam over frames is poor because it is the most difficult material in course
- Except for frames, exam performance appears relatively constant (to $\pm 5 \%$ )
- Poor students dropping over time masks difference in performance
- Need to look at cumulative ave
-     -         - Overall Average $\quad$. $75 \mathrm{~min} \& 60 \mathrm{~min} \quad \triangle 50 \mathrm{~min}$
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## Cumulative Statistics (Average \& Standard Deviation) During Semester

| Group \Average, SD, (N) | Exam 1 | Exam 1 \& 2 | Exam 1 to 4 | All Regular Exams |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $85.9 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ |
| Those that pass (A-C) | $12.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
|  | $(201)$ | $(200)$ | $(193)$ | $(167)^{*}$ |
|  | $76.6 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ |
|  | $19.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
|  | $(343)$ | $(337)$ | $(304)$ | $(243)^{*}$ |
| Not passing (C- to D \& F) | $63.5 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
|  | $19.4 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
|  | $(142)$ | $(137)$ | $(111)$ | $(76)^{*}$ |

- Divided into groups: those that pass, all (reference), and not passing
- Cumulative average do not vary significantly within each group
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## Cumulative Performance Over the Course of the Semester

Analysis based on averages alone obscures trends

Distribution of averages over semester


- Exam 1 is review, so half of those who pass earn 90's
- Over the semester, number of A's decrease while number of C's increase


## Correlation Between Exam Scores and Semester Grade

- Pearson correlation coefficient between exam scores and semester grade were determined
- Pearson correlation coefficient ranges between +1 and -1
o It is +1 when it is perfectly correlated
o 0 when there is no correlation at all
o-1 when an increase in one variable leads to a decrease in the other
o Results are less scattered when the correlation coefficient approaches +/-1


## Correlation Between Exam Scores and Semester Grade

- Correlation coefficient between individual exams \& semester grade:

| Pre-test | Exam 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.457 | 0.628 |

Pre-test = moderate correlation Exam 1 (only) = moderately high

- Correlation coefficient between cumulative ave \& semester grade:

| Pre-test \& Exam 1 | Exam 1 \& 2 | Exam 1 to 4 | All Regular Exams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.678 | 0.783 | 0.883 | 0.947 |
| very high $\longrightarrow$ near perfect correlation |  |  |  |

- Very high correlation by the fourth exam, when the last day to withdraw with a grade of W occurs


## Correlation Details: Pre-test, Exam 1 \& 2 Average with Semester Grade

- Least squares fit lines shown: Exam 1 (only), cumulative ave of Ex 1 \& 2, and Pre-test (only)
- Data points for Ex 1 \& standard deviation (SD) at each grade pt are also shown
- SD ("error") bars show range of values for each grade pt



## Correlation Details: Pre-test, Exam 1 \& 2 Average with Semester Grade

- For Ex 1, average score at each grade pt is often above the typical grade range
o E.g., those with C's for semester grade have $81 \%$ average on Ex 1
- There is also a very high variance
o E.g., those with C+ have SD of $\pm 15 \%$ even though grades are only $\pm 1.5 \%$ wide

- Cumulative ave of Ex 1 \& 2 lowers typical score


## Correlation Details: Exam 1-4 Cumulative Average \& Grade

- Data points for cumulative ave \& SD of Ex 1-4 at each grade pt are shown
- By Ex 4, cumulative ave least squares fit line has moved close to middle of score range of each grade
- Variance in scores for each grade has also been reduced, though SD is still more than $\pm 1.5 \%$
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## Correlation Details: All Regular Exam Cumulative Average and Grade

After all regular exams (except for final exam):

- Not much shift in cumulative ave line except at lower grade levels
- Variance in scores has reduced to about $\pm 2 \%$ to $3 \%$
- Results are close to grade level values \& range as expected since correlation coefficient is $\underline{0.947}$



## Summary

- Student performance characteristics in a hybrid Statics class were investigated
- Cumulative averages did not vary much over the semester, but the distribution of scores varied a lot
- Decreasing amounts of variance in the cumulative exam averages existed at each grade level as the semester progressed
- By the withdrawal date, the cumulative exam average could be used with relatively good confidence to predict end-of-semester grades
- There is a limit to the amount of improvement that is possible just with the final exam; i.e., after the completion of the regular semester exams
- These results provide a benchmark for comparison in the future when interventions are made to affect student success in Statics at WSU

