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## Presentation Outline

- Background on grade inflation and plus-minus grading
- Methodology used in this study
- University-wide results of graduation with distinction
- Grade distribution for courses university-wide under whole-letter grade system
- Grade distribution for engineering courses under whole-letter and plus-minus grading systems
- Results of graduation with distinction by discipline
- Summary \& future work


## Background on Grade Inflation

- Stuart Rojstaczer (www.gradeinflation.com) has collected grade inflation trend over the last 50 years
o Dataset includes 170 schools
- Grade of C was most common grade until the Vietnam war (draft deferment effect thereafter)
- Grade of $A$ is now the most common grade


## Background on Trend toward Adopting Plus-minus Grading System

- Whole-letter grade (A, B, C, D, F) system was prevalent before grade inflation began
- Many universities since the 1990s have implemented plus-minus (+/-) grading system (with A, A-, B+, etc.)
o Number of schools using +/- grading system*: $36 \%$ in 1992, $56 \%$ in 2002, and 63\% in 2014
- Key motivator: a belief that +/- system will reverse grade inflation and student performance will be better differentiated**
- Publicly available grade information is not easily accessible, but grade inflation is also present at Wichita State Univ (WSU)***
o Registrar stated in 2004 that A is most prevalent grade
References: *AACRAO (Registrars Assoc); **Morgan et al, 2007; ***WSU registrar, 2004
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## Background on Effect of Plus-minus Grades on GPA / Motivation

- Reports in literature about the effect of +/- grading on GPA are somewhat mixed
o Many report no difference in mean overall GPA
o Hypothesized explanation: grades with pluses probably cancel minuses over the course of a student's academic career
- Most reports recognize that there would be a small deflationary effect on students in the top A grade bracket
- This leads to a two-fold motivation for the present study:

1) How does +/- grading affect the top A-level students?
2) Are there differences by discipline, from effect of $+/$ - grading?

## Further Background \& Methodology

- Plus-minus grading implemented at WSU since the fall of 2009
- Graduation with honors has remained the same under +/- grading
o Summa Cum Laude (SCL) honors require a GPA of 3.90
o Magna Cum Laude (MCL) honors require a GPA of 3.55
o Cum Laude (CL) honors require a GPA of 3.25
- Although wide in GPA range, the number of honors graduates in each category is a proxy for distribution of student GPAs
o Publicly available commencement brochures were used to determine the number of graduates in each honors category
o Five year periods before +/- grades (fall 2002 to spring 07) and after +/- grades (spring 2014 to fall 18) were considered


## University-wide Results of Graduation with Academic Distinction

- Whole-letter grades (2002-07)
o Summa Cum Laude (SCL): 4.2\%
o Magna Cum Laude (MCL): 15.6\%
o Cum Laude (CL): 19.0\%
o Others (Rite): 61.2\%
- After +/- grades (2014-18)
o Change: SCL $\downarrow 0.5 \%$, MCL $\uparrow 2.1 \%, C L \uparrow 1.9 \%$
o For SCL, $0.5 \%$ is actually reduction of $12 \%$ $\leftrightarrow(3.7 \%-4.2 \%) / 4.2 \%=-12 \%$
- MCLs \& CLs grew more than SCL reduction
- Insufficient data to determine if increase in MCL/CLs was due to grade inflation or the change to + /- grading



## Grade Distribution in Classes with Whole-letter Grades

- Distribution of grades for individual classes at WSU (fall 2003)
o Lower Division with 2.78 GPA
- Upper Division with 3.12 GPA
o Average of two ( $\rightarrow$ 2.95 GPA)
- National average*
- WSU ave is similar to National ave
*Reference: Rojstaczer www.gradeinflation.com
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## Grade Distribution in Classes with Whole-letter Grades

- Higher GPA with more A's \& B's for upper div than for lower div
- Distribution is not symmetric "Bell" shaped (Gaussian) o Mean shifted right o Left tail does not diminish - number of F's > D's
- Distribution with +/grades not available $\rightarrow$ look at actual distribution for 1st
$\square$ WSU Lower Div $\square$ WSU Upper Div $\square$ WSU All Classes $\square$ National
 author's classes
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## Score Distribution of $1^{\text {st }}$ Author's Aerospace Engineering Courses

- Two groups:
$\rightarrow$ Whole-letter grade (2002-09) with $\circ$, $\mathrm{N}=1000$ students
$\rightarrow$ Plus-minus grade (2009-14) with +, $\mathrm{N}=1020$ students
- Score data ( $\circ$ \& +) in 1 pt bins
- All scores < 50 are included in a single bin located at the 50 pt score bin


## Score Distribution of $1^{\text {st }}$ Author's Aerospace Engineering Courses

- For each group of ~1000 students:

1) $\sim 500$ students in sophomore year courses
2) $\sim 500$ students in junior year courses

- Observations:

1) Not smooth "bell" shaped (Gaussian)

2) Has a lot of scatter

Weighted Average Score at End of Semester
3) Peak (and average) is in 80's
4) Difficult to make further observations due to large volume of data shown
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## Grade Distribution of $1^{\text {st }}$ Author's Aerospace Engineering Courses

- Red $\circ$ is wholeletter grade data (2002-09), but separated into +/grade bins
- Plus-minus grade (2009-14) data shown as blue +
- First glance: there appears to be fewer A's \& B's (more C's \& D's)



## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Statistics for courses under whole-letter grades (2002-09)

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 36 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |

- Statistics for courses under +/- grading (2009-14)

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 15$ | 2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | 61 | $81 \pm 11$ | 2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 13$ | 2.48 |
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## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Lower level class GPA < upper level class GPA

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 36 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |

for both whole-letter grade and +/- grade, respectively

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 15$ | 2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | 61 | $81 \pm 11$ | 2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 13$ | 2.48 |
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## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Whole-letter grade GPA > GPA for +/- grades, by ~. 25 grd pts

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 36 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |

for lower level, upper level, and overall average

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 15$ | 2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | 61 | $81 \pm 11$ | 2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 13$ | 2.48 |

## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Lower level score average is different, but others are similar

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 36 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |


| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 15$ | 2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | 61 | $81 \pm 11$ | 2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 13$ | 2.48 |
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## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Standard deviation narrows for +/- grades - possible cause?

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 36 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13_{4}$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |


| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 15$ | 2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | 61 | $81 \pm 11^{\downarrow}$ | 2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 13$ | 2.48 |

## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Could change to +/- grades cause this difference?

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 36 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |

Convert to whole-letter grades \& re-calculate GPAs $\rightarrow$ no change

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 152.22$ | -2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | 61 | $81 \pm 112.69$ | -2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 132.48$ | -2.48 |

## Discussion of Score \& GPA for Engineering Courses by $1^{\text {st }}$ Author

- Recent (+/- grade) class size larger $\rightarrow$ likely cause of GPA $\downarrow$

| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 436 | $79 \pm 17$ | 2.51 |
| Junior Year | 529 | 44 | $80 \pm 13$ | 2.85 |
| Overall Average | 1000 | 40 4 | $80 \pm 14$ | 2.70 |
| - Topic for future paper |  |  |  |  |
| Category | \# Students | \# per class | Ave Score \& S.D. | GPA |
| Sophomore Year | 471 | 47 | $76 \pm 15$ | 2.23 |
| Junior Year | 549 | ' 61 | $81 \pm 11$ | 2.68 |
| Overall Average | 1020 | 54 | $79 \pm 13$ | 2.48 |

## Results of Graduation with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Results by discipline: whole-letter grade on left \& +/- grade on right
- $\mathrm{SCL}=$ orange (bottom), MCL = pink (middle), CL = green (top)



## Results of Graduation with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Comparing across disciplines is not meaningful because of differing requirements



## Results of Graduation with Academic Distinction by Discipline

o Example 1: Education \& Health Professions requires GPA $\geq 2.5$
o Example 2: Fine Arts requires passing sophomore review


## Results of Graduation with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Most disciplines increased number of graduates with distinction
- Finer details \& observations easier to see from tabular results



## Change in Number of Graduates with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Table gives amount of change: those under whole-letter grade minus those under +/-

| Discipline | SCL | MCL | CL | SCL+MCL+CL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | $-0.7 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+2.9 \%$ |
| Education (now Applied Studies) | $-1.5 \%$ | $+0.3 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Engineering | $-2.1 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.8 \%$ | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $-0.4 \%$ | $+2.5 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ |
| Health Professions | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+4.6 \%$ | $+4.9 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.A. | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+1.7 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.S. | $+0.8 \%$ | $+2.4 \%$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ |
| Entire University | $-0.5 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+3.5 \%$ |

## Change in Number of Graduates with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Number of SCL decreased for almost every discipline
o Only exception is Liberal Arts B.S.

| Discipline | SCL | MCL | CL | SCL+MCL+CL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | $-0.7 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+2.9 \%$ |
| Education (now Applied Studies) | $-1.5 \%$ | $+0.3 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Engineering | $-2.1 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.8 \%$ | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $-0.4 \%$ | $+2.5 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ |
| Health Professions | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+4.6 \%$ | $+4.9 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.A. | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+1.7 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.S. | $+0.8 \%$ | $+2.4 \%$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ |
| Entire University | $-0.5 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+3.5 \%$ |

## Change in Number of Graduates with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Sum of all graduates with distinction increased in most disciplines o Only exceptions are Education and Engineering

| Discipline | SCL | MCL | CL | SCL+MCL+CL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | $-0.7 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+2.9 \%$ |
| Education (now Applied Studies) | $-1.5 \%$ | $+0.3 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Engineering | $-2.1 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.8 \%$ | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $-0.4 \%$ | $+2.5 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ |
| Health Professions | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+4.6 \%$ | $+4.9 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.A. | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+1.7 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.S. | $+0.8 \%$ | $+2.4 \%$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ |
| Entire University | $-0.5 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+3.5 \%$ |

## Change in Number of Graduates with Academic Distinction by Discipline

- Reduction in graduation with distinction in Engineering is $-2.9 \%$
o Corresponds to reduction of $7.6 \%=-2.9 \% /(5.6 \%+14.9 \%+17.1 \%)$

| Discipline | SCL | MCL | CL | $\mathrm{SCL}+\mathrm{MCL}+\mathrm{CL}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | $-0.7 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+2.9 \%$ |
| Education (now Applied Studies) | $-1.5 \%$ | $+0.3 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Engineering | $-2.1 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.8 \%$ | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $-0.4 \%$ | $+2.5 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ |
| Health Professions | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+4.6 \%$ | $+4.9 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.A. | $-0.6 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+1.7 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts B.S. | $+0.8 \%$ | $+2.4 \%$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ |
| Entire University | $-0.5 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+3.5 \%$ |

## Summary

- Effect of $+/$ - grading system on graduation with academic distinction was considered
o Data sets consisted of five-year periods when whole-letter grades were used and for a similar period under +/- grading
- Overall, the number of summa cum laudes decreased with +/- grading while the number of graduates in other distinction categories increased
- In engineering, there was a decrease in summa and magna cum laudes without a corresponding increase in cum laudes
- Actual grade distributions in Engineering classes were also considered
o Increased class size appeared to affect student performance
o This is a topic for future study

