3.XX Attendance			
Strengths	Limitations	Recommendations	
Strengths: Terms defined	Too wordy and prescriptive No distinction between employees, staff, faculty Use of 'leadership" term is problematic	One policy for employees and another for faculty and administrative staff; There is a need to distinguish between exempt and non- exempt employees. As discussed during the meeting, we need to capture this information within the purpose and/or policy statement. Faculty members may not need to report the days when they are not on campus. As noted in the meeting, faculty may work from home on days when there are no classes/meetings/office hours. needed	
Echoing Fred/Deepak, yes, this is detailed and clear.	Again echoing, this is a "clock punching" employee-based set of guidelines, not reflecting faculty scheduling, which is necessarily flexible and variable. It would likely be impossible for faculty to abdicate duties in the ways stipulated without major, self- evident consequences in service meeting absences, class "no shows," etc.	Echoing: differentiate policy based on employee type, perhaps in "Faculty Expectations" section.	

Detailed expectations from	Appears as applicable to	Differentiate between faculty
employees	employees working in a shift or	-
	regular workday only. Does not	
	consider flexible schedule that	departments do not have any
	faculty may follow.	"Attendance" procedure and
		faculty do not report absence
		on days when they do not have
		classes/office hours. This may
		be clarified with "Faculty
		expectations" section.
		Replace "leadership" with
		supervisor or something more
		appropriate.
each item is well stated.	Procedures are not specific and	Ways to communicate might
	clear.	need to be added i.e., email,
		call, or text.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Modify purpose and policy to

include expectations by the supervisor