



WICHITA STATE
UNIVERSITY

Academic Integrity Handbook Revision Proposal

B. Buerge PhD

AIPC Chairman

4/24/2023

FAQ's: Quick recap

- **Thank you** for the feedback - I brought data!
- Academic Integrity not to be confused with Academic Appeals
- Motivations for making changes:
 - *Can* be unnecessarily antagonistic for reporting faculty (disincentivizes reporting)
 - *Can* result in inadvertent inconsistencies in outcomes or sanctioning
 - Does not capitalize on opportunities to reduce recidivism
 - Workload/caseload mismatch between colleges
 - “Due process” (timeliness) is currently strained due to limited faculty availability
(**8 for campus**) and SCCS workload

FAQ's: AI Stats

2022-2023 Academic Hearing Data*	
<i>Finding</i>	<i>Total</i>
In Violation	53
Not In Violation	16

Total Cases 2022-2023	324
% of total cases that were Hearings	21%
% of Total cases that were found not in violation	5%

*More thanks to Kyle...



WICHITA STATE
UNIVERSITY

FAQ's: Where does the workload come from?

2022-2023 Academic Hearing Data: By College*	
<i>College</i>	<i>Total Hearings</i>
College of Education/Applied Studies	2 (3%)
College of Engineering	58 (84%)**
Dorothy & Bill Cohen Honors College	0
Fairmount College of Liberal Arts & Sciences	4 (6%)
College of Fine Arts	0
College of Health Professions	5 (7%)
W. Frank Barton School of Business	0
College of Innovation and Design	0

*Thanks to Kyle Wilson for this data

** 69% in 2021-20222 Academic Year, 36% in 2020-2021 Academic Year

FAQ's: How do things shake out?

2022-2023 Academic Hearing Data*	
<i>Finding</i>	<i>Total</i>
In Violation	53
Not In Violation	16

Total Cases 2022-2023	324
% of total cases that were Hearings	21%
% of Total cases that were found not in violation	5%

*More thanks to Kyle...



WICHITA STATE
UNIVERSITY

“Past-due” process

2022-2023 AI Process Turnaround Time*	
Case Creation to Accepting Sanctions	10 Days
Case Creation to Committee Hearing	62 Days

*More thanks to Kyle...


What stays the same

- Fundamental authorizing authority for the AI process
- Centralized record-keeping
- Presumption of innocence
- Uniform “standard of information” across campus
- University-level appellate opportunity


What changes

- Sanctioning capacity now matches functional responsibility
 - SCCS can apply “Educational” (may reduce recidivism)
 - Only Colleges can apply “Academic” sanctions
 - Only SCCS can apply “Disciplinary” sanctions
- Violation-finding responsibility vests with the College of allegation
 - Each College will stand up an AI process for allegations within their College
 - Committees comprised of faculty/students more familiar with academic field
 - Each college can tailor procedure to suit academic field and objectives

Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 1



Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 2



Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 3



Cheating suspected



Faculty Investigates



Yes



Yes

- Report filed with SCCS
- Student notified
- SCCS meets with student




Yes


- “Uncontested Resolution” (SCCS)**
- Student is “in violation”
 - Faculty *academic sanction* stands
 - **Educational sanctions** can be added (reduce recidivism)
 - Appellate rights surrendered
 - No hearing req'd

Process concluded


Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 4




Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 5



Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 6



Proposed AI Process Flowchart – Outcome 7



Complete Proposed AI Process Flowchart

