



WICHITA STATE
UNIVERSITY

Academic Integrity Handbook Revision Proposal

B. Buerge

AIPC Chairman

3/27/2023

Motivation for changes

Difficult to administer because of a mismatch between sanctioning capacity and functional responsibility:

- *Can* be unnecessarily antagonistic for reporting faculty
- *Can* result in inadvertent inconsistencies in outcomes or sanctioning
- Does not capitalize on opportunities to reduce recidivism
- Logistically unsustainable for SCCS

What stays the same

- Fundamental authorizing authority for the AI process
- Centralized record-keeping
- Presumption of innocence
- Uniform “standard of information” across campus
- University-level appellate opportunity

What changes

- Sanctioning capacity now matches functional responsibility
 - SCCS can apply “Educational” (may reduce recidivism)
 - Only Colleges can apply “Academic” sanctions
 - Only SCCS can apply “Disciplinary” sanctions
- Violation-finding responsibility vests with the College of allegation
 - Each College will stand up an AI process for allegations within their College
 - Committees comprised of faculty/students more familiar with academic field
 - Each college can tailor procedure to suit academic field and objectives

Proposed AI Process Flowchart

