## **Oral Presentation Rubric**

## Presenter's Name:

Project title:

## Presentation time:

CATEGORY	10 - 9	8 - 6	5 - 3	2 - 1	Points
Delivery	<ul> <li>Relaxed, self-confident.</li> <li>Builds trust and holds attention by direct eye contact.</li> <li>Fluctuation in volume and inflection, clear articulation and clear voice. Steady rate.</li> <li>Appropriately dressed.</li> <li>Communication aids enhance the presentation and are prepared in a professional manner.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student's voice is clear. Quick recovery from minor mistakes.</li> <li>Fairly consistent use of direct eye contact.</li> <li>Satisfactory variation of volume and inflection.</li> <li>Appropriately dressed.</li> <li>Communication aids contribute to the quality of the presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nervous tension or indifference is apparent.</li> <li>Little or no eye contact or expression.</li> <li>Very little variation of volume and inflection.</li> <li>Possible inappropriate dress for purpose or audience.</li> <li>Communication aids lack smooth transition from one medium to another.</li> <li>Multimedia is not clearly connected to presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nervous tension obvious.</li> <li>No effort to make eye contact with audience.</li> <li>Speaker seemed uninterested and was monotone.</li> <li>Inappropriately dressed.</li> <li>Little or no use of communication aids.</li> <li>Aids are poorly prepared or are used inappropriately.</li> </ul>	
Organization	<ul> <li>Thesis stated clearly and developed.</li> <li>Specific examples are appropriate.</li> <li>Conclusion is clear and shows control.</li> <li>Audience understands presentation and can formulate questions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thesis stated.</li> <li>Most information is presented in logical sequence which audience can follow.</li> <li>Audience understands presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thesis somewhat unclear.</li> <li>Concepts and ideas are loosely connected, lacks clear transitions.</li> <li>Audience has difficulty following because student jumps around.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thesis not clearly stated.</li> <li>Presentation is disjointed, does not flow. No apparent logical order of presentation is present.</li> <li>Audience does not understand presentation.</li> </ul>	
Content/ Strength of Material	<ul> <li>Shows a full understanding of the topic.</li> <li>Provides accurate and complete explanations.</li> <li>Information is consistently accurate.</li> <li>Clearly references appropriate literature.</li> <li>Advances thinking about the discipline in new ways.</li> <li>Research is clearly important to the discipline.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Shows a good understanding of the topic.</li> <li>Explanations of concepts are accurate and complete with no elaboration.</li> <li>Literature references are somewhat clear.</li> <li>Somewhat advances thinking about discipline in new ways.</li> <li>Research appears somewhat important to the discipline.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Shows a good understanding of parts of the topic.</li> <li>Weak examples, facts, and/or statistics.</li> <li>Literature references are vague.</li> <li>Advances thinking about the discipline very little.</li> <li>Research is not clearly connected to the discipline.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Does not seem to understand the topic very well.</li> <li>Very weak or no support from examples, facts, and/or statistics.</li> <li>Explanations of concepts are inaccurate or incomplete.</li> <li>Doesn't reference appropriate literature.</li> <li>Doesn't advance thinking about the discipline in new ways.</li> <li>Research is not connected to the discipline.</li> </ul>	
Communication Effectiveness	<ul> <li>Level of presentation is appropriate and understandable to the non-expert audience.</li> <li>Contains a futures perspective.</li> <li>Incorporates a unique approach to the topic.</li> <li>Increases audience's understanding of knowledge of topic.</li> <li>Clear point of view, development or support is conclusive and complete.</li> <li>Impact is clear.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Level of presentation is somewhat understandable to the non-expert audience.</li> <li>A futures perspective can be inferred.</li> <li>Approach to the topic is typical.</li> <li>Raises audiences understanding and awareness of most points.</li> <li>Clear point of view, but development or support is inconclusive and incomplete.</li> <li>Impact can be inferred.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Level of presentation is challenging for the non-expert audience.</li> <li>A futures perspective is not apparent.</li> <li>Approach to the topic is very basic.</li> <li>Raises audience understanding and knowledge of some points.</li> <li>Points may be clear but lack development or support.</li> <li>Impact is not apparent.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Level of presentation ignores the non-expert audience.</li> <li>A futures perspective is not included.</li> <li>Approach to the topic is very disorganized.</li> <li>Fails to increase audience understanding and knowledge of topic.</li> <li>Points fail to effectively convince audience.</li> <li>Impact is not addressed.</li> </ul>	