





Thermoplastic Joining Materials Guidance for Aircraft Design and Certification

Process Development & Scaling Studies

Waruna Seneviratne, John Tomblin, Jerome Teoh, Shafie Mohamed, Mark Walthers, Riley Ziegler, Zakk Lierman, Upul Palliyaguru, Akshay Tamala, and Lakshan Rubesingh

> JAMS Technical Review April 2023 Seattle, WA







Thermoplastic Joining Materials Guidance for Aircraft Design and Certification

Research Team

NIAR

Waruna Seneviratne, PhD John Tomblin, PhD Jerome Teoh & Shafie Mohamed Mark Walthers, Riley Ziegler, Zakk Lierman Upul Palliyaguru, Akshay Tamala, Lakshan Rubesinghe

FAA

Danielle Stephens, Technical Monitor Larry Ilcewicz, PhD, Sponsor Cindy Ashforth, Sponsor Ahmet Oztekin, PhD

Industry









Program Overview

Thermoplastic Bonding

Thermoplastic Welding

Process Development

Performance Evaluation





Background

- Aircraft manufacturing processes will be required to undergo significant technology advancements to increase production rates.
- Thermoplastic material systems are being considered so that
 - Faster cycle time and manufacturing processes
 - Reversible process; thermoplastic materials can be melted and remolded without affecting the polymer's physical properties.
- Due to this capability, non-traditional joining approaches such as fusion bonding (welding) can be implemented in order to significantly reduce weight and cost over mechanical fastening and adhesive bonding.







The primary goal of this task is to establish best practices for joining thermoplastic composite materials in order to reduce assembly time and cost of next generation structural components. Process specifications and guidance materials are being developed to demonstrate joining techniques at scale.



Program Overview

Thermoplastic Bonding

Thermoplastic Welding

Process Development

Performance Evaluation





Thermoplastic Bonding: Contamination Study Results

- Atmospheric plasma treatment (APT) shows resulted in the strongest bond.
- However, when contaminants are present, laser ablation is the most effective decontamination process.
- Mavcoat specimens decontaminated with the APT process did not survive machining.













Effects Surface Preparation - Addition of Laser Ablation + CO₂ Cleaning





Program Overview

Thermoplastic Bonding

Thermoplastic Welding

Process Development

Performance Evaluation









Induction Welding Model Application

- Coil Design Analysis using 2D static model
 - Coil geometry and design will influence the shape and density of generated magnetic field, which in turn influences how the work piece is heated
 - FE model was employed to study the induced thermal distribution across the weld interface to design the NIAR induction coil







[0/22.5]

0/67.5]

A

RHO_X

RHO_Y

RHO_Z

Induction Welding Model Application

• Material properties analysis and anisotropy electrical characterization model for simulation inputs

130

110

90

70°F

500

393

285

178

Enlarge bounds

Characterization.f2hst

- Write input

Characterizaiton.flu

- FE input

[0/45]

 Heating efficiency knockdown at the inter-ply region need to accounted in characterizing

318

235

153

70°

550

430

310

190

∕∕x

D

C

Goal 4



Experiment Data Feed In

∕∕x

С

Q

Goal 3

Characterization FE model

Solver - (Flux)

∕∕x

В

C

Goal 2

∕∕x

А

C

Goal 1



Program Overview

Thermoplastic Bonding

Thermoplastic Welding

Process Development

Performance Evaluation





Comparison of Weld Single lap Shear Strength (1" OL)

/T700GC

o/o interface

APC/AS4D

	TC1225 / T700GC				<u>, clucintarfaca</u>	
	Category	Average Apparent Shear Strength [ksi]	COV [%]	% Difference	TC1225 / T700G	
TC1225-Baseline- Round Notch	MASC-BL-AC-TC1225	5.54	11.30			
TC1225-IW	MASC-IW-TC1225	5.03	2.51	-9.24%		
TC1225-UW	MASC-UW-TC1225	4.73	18.70	-14.65%		
TC1225-RW-SS	MASC-RW-TC1225	5.04	6.27	-9.12%		
TC1225-RW-CFHE	MASC-RW-TC1225	4.93	1.68	-11.13%		



	Category	Average Apparent Shear Strength [ksi]	COV [%]	% Difference
APC-Baseline- Round Notch	MASC-BL-AC-APC	5.64	3.03	
APC-IW	MASC-IW-APC	5.65	2.99	0.06%
APC-UW	MASC-UW-APC	5.26	5.58	-6.75%
APC-RW-SS	MASC-RW-APC	4.98	1.98	-11.82%
APC-RW-CFHE	MASC-RW-APC	4.67	5.63	-17.26%



Resistance Welding: Temperature & Pressure Dependance (0.5" OL) TC1225 / T700GC Initial Assessment Ranges Finalized Range (smaller operational range) (large range) Evaluated Evaluated with QI o/o interface 90 45 with 45/45 interface **Resistance Welding: Stainless-Steel Heating Element Temperature & Pressure Contribution** [0.5" Overlap, 45/45 Ply Interface, TC1225 / T700GC] Resistance Welding: Stainless-Steel Heating Element **Resistance Welding: Carbon-Fiber Heating Element** 6.45 **Temperature & Pressure Contribution Temperature & Pressure Contribution** [0.5" Overlap, 0/0 Ply Interface, TC1225 / T700GC] [0.5" Overlap, 0/0 Ply Interface, TC1225 / T700GC] 6.4 7.9 6.45 6.35 7.85 Apparent Shear Strength [ksi] 6.45 r Strength [ksi] 7.8 6.3 6.9 6.32 rength [ks 6 5 8.2 7.75 6.43 6.89 6.25 6.95 6.8 8 7.7 Str 6.7 6.2 1 7.8 7.67 7.65 car 5 6.5 57 7.6 6.6 6.15 7.6 7.4 Appare Appare 7.55 730 730 6.5 6.1 7.5 125 125 6.4 200 715 715 6.05 750 7.45 112.5 112.5 70 Target Temperature 70 Farget Temperature 125 6 100 100 700 Target Pressure Target Pressure [F] [F] [psi] [psi] 50 650Target Temperature Target Pressure [F] [psi] **Carbon-Fiber Heating Element** Stainless-Steel Heating Element Stainless-Steel Heating Element 45/45 interface Intertac Inter



Process Parameter Trend (Pressure & Temperature) – APC / AS4D Induction Welding (1.0" OL)

Evaluated on QI are interface OVERLAP LENGTH = 1.0"







Process Parameter Trend (Pressure & Amplitude) – TC1225 / T700GC Ultrasonic Welding (1.0" OL)

Evaluated on QI o/o interface OVERLAP LENGTH = 1.0"



Ultrasonic Welding : Processing Amplitude & Pressure Contribution [1.0" Overlap, 0/0 Ply Interface, TC1225 / T700GC]





Best Case Grouping from Pressure/Temp/Amplitude Study & Initial Static Dataset

All o/o Interface BEST Process Parameter Combination (Pressure/Temp./Amplitude)



<mark>All 45/45 Interface</mark>

BEST Process Parameter Combination (Pressure/Temp./Amplitude)





Program Overview

- **Thermoplastic Bonding**
- **Thermoplastic Welding**
- **Process Development**

Performance Evaluation





Single Lap-Shear Fatigue Testing



- Substrate Material (TC1225):
 - **QI45:** [45/0/-45/90]₂₅
 - **Qlo:** [0/-45/90/45]₂₅
 - **CPo:** [0/90]_{4S}
- Adhesive Bond:
 - Adhesive: FM300-2M (0.06 psf)
 - Surface Preparation: Atmospheric Plasma Treatment
 - 250°F at 40-psi





RW Substrate & HE Surface Preparation Considerations





Mavcoat Contamination Study: Single Lap Shear Strength - 0/0 Interface







Certification of Thermoplastic Joints



- TP Weldment qualification using 7PB test method
- Analytical benchmarking, calibration & validation exercises
- Sizing study of 7PB test load application points & effect on mode-mixity



Fracture Toughness Mode I (TC1225/T700GC) Effects due to Fiber Bridging





7-Point Bend Static Testing







Thermoplastic Welded Element Level – 7pt Bend Test



Progressive failure monitored during fatigue using video camera + intermittent NDI (UT-PE and XCT)



Compliance change (normalized by initial compliance)







Tooling for Fuselage Panel Demonstrator





Summary

Adhesive Bonding

- Abrasion surface preparation techniques that have been historically used to prepare thermoset composites are insufficient for thermoplastic composites because the surface is not chemically activated in the abrasion process
- Atmospheric plasma treatment can increase the surface free energy (specifically the polar surface free energy) and chemically activate the substrate to form a strong bond with the adhesive
- Laser ablation surface preparation require further studies for process development
- Minimal substrate failures were witnessed with thermoplastic bond failures due to the increase in interlaminar fracture properties associated with thermoplastics over thermosets
- Fusion Welding
 - Controlling and monitoring interfacial temperature, pressure, and time is essential to weld quality and performance
 - Weld certification guidance will be addressed though scaling studies
 - Fatigue data indicated a significant sensitivity to process parameters and interfacial plies
 - Welds do not require the surface of the substrate to be chemically activated, as the polymer near the weld interface is melted (no chemical bonding occurring)
 - Initial surface contaminate studies have demonstrated the robustness of welding, but require further studies to
 establish guidance







Looking Forward / Future Work

- Benefit to Aviation
 - Generating guidance materials for adhesive bonding and welding reinforced thermoplastic composites
 - Identification of critical processing parameters in adhesive bonding and weld processes to aid in establishing process controls
- Next Steps:
 - Development of laser ablation as a surface preparation method
 - Scaling studies for fuselage panel demonstrator
 - Development of ultrasonic welding gantry for fuselage panel demonstrator
 - Development of guidance materials for joining of thermoplastics

