



2017 Technical Review Shuyu 'Frank' Xia , Hrishikesh (Rishi) Pathak, Anirudh Ashok, and Mark Tuttle Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Washington

Motivation and Key Issues:

- In-service bond failures between composite facesheets and honeycomb cores have been reported in the space, marine, and aviation industries
 - X-33 Liquid Hydrogen Tank Failure

Boeing 747 upper skin disbonds

Airbus A-310 Rudder Failure







approx. 24" x 60" upper skin disbond

(Photos courtesy of Ronald Krueger, National Institute of Aerospace







Motivation and Key Issues:

 Core-to-skin disbond initiation and growth are not completely understood, but are thought to occur due to combination of factors:







- Core-to-skin disbond initiation and growth are not completely understood, but are thought to occur due to combination of factors:
 - Water ingression into core volume, followed by freeze-thaw cycles.







- Core-to-skin disbond initiation and growth are not completely understood, but are thought to occur due to combination of factors:
 - Water ingression into core volume, followed by freeze-thaw cycles.water ingression may occur due to:
 - Wicking of liquidous water through facesheet microcracks, along fiber/matrix interfaces, and/or through improper design of edge closeouts







- Core-to-skin disbond initiation and growth are not completely understood, but are thought to occur due to combination of factors:
 - Water ingression into core volume, followed by freeze-thaw cycles.water ingression may occur due to:
 - Wicking of liquidous water through facesheet microcracks, along fiber/matrix interfaces, and/or through improper design of edge closeouts
 - Diffusion of water *molecules* through (otherwise undamaged) facesheets, resulting in increased core humidity levels







- Core-to-skin disbond initiation and growth are not completely understood, but are thought to occur due to combination of factors:
 - Water ingression into core volume, followed by freeze-thaw cycles.water ingression may occur due to:
 - Wicking of liquidous water through facesheet microcracks, along fiber/matrix interfaces, and/or through improper design of edge closeouts
 - Diffusion of water *molecules* through (otherwise undamaged) facesheets, resulting in increased core humidity levels
 - Pressure differences between inside and outside of unvented honeycomb cores (Ground-Air-Ground or 'GAG' pressure cycles)







 Pressure differences between inside and outside of unvented honeycomb structures (Ground-Air-Ground or 'GAG' pressure cycles)

<u>Configuration at ground level</u> $P_o = 100 \text{ kPa} = 14.7 \text{ psi}$



Configuration at 35,000 ft $P_o = 24$ kPa = 3.5 psi









Overall Program Objectives:

- Determine if the condense-freeze-thaw-evaporate cycle of humidity within core region impacts the interfacial fracture toughness, G_c , of sandwich structures
- Develop experimental techniques to study/evaluate GAG phenomenon







- Principal Investigator
 - Mark Tuttle
- Students
 - William Smoot (MSME Aug '16), Sung Lin 'Jason' Tien (MSAA Sept '16), Shuyu 'Frank' Xia (MSME March '17), Hrishikesh (Rishi) Pathak, and Anirudh Ashok

FAA Technical Monitor

• Lynn Pham, Zhi-Ming Chen

Industry Participation

- Bill Avery, Hamid Razi, and Adam Sawicki/The Boeing Company
- Dan Holley and Chris Praggastis/3M
- Bob Fagerlund/Bell Helicopter

Study Initiated in September 2015







Outline of Presentation

- Measurement of *G_c* associated with facesheet/core bond failures in sandwich structures:
 - Single-Cantilever Beam (SCB) test geometry/protocol under development by CMH-17 Task Group
 - Results obtained during 1st year of study (Sept '15-Sept '16) J meeting
 - Expanded test matrix for 2nd year of study (ongoing)
- Design and fabrication of GAG specimens and test set-up (ongoing)







Presented at

Oct '16

Outline of Presentation

- Measurement of G_c associated with facesheet/core bond failures in sandwich structures:
 - Single-Cantilever Beam (SCB) test geometry/protocol under development by CMH-17 Task Group
 - Results obtained during 1st year of study (Sept '15-Sept '16)
 - Expanded test matrix for 2nd year of study (ongoing)
- Design and fabrication of GAG specimens and test set-up (ongoing)







Presented at

Oct '16

AMTAS

meeting

SCB specimens were machined from sandwich panels produced using 4-ply woven facesheets with $[45/0/0/45]_T$ stacking sequence and $\frac{1}{2}$ in thick Nomex core:

Туре	Manufacturer/Material Designation
Facesheet	Cytec T300/970 3k plain weave fabric
Core	Hexcel HRH-10 – 1/8 – 3.0 (0.50 in thick)
Adhesive	3M Scotch-Weld Structural Film AF 163-2K







Facesheets produced using an autoclave:











 Cured facesheets and Nomex core were machined to size and stored for 2 months at 50°C (122°F) at 8% RH in a humidity chamber, to insure components were as "dry" as possible











•Four parent sandwich panels were then produced using dried facesheets and core, using secondary bonding and a hot press









•Six tests specimens were machined from the four "parent" panels (24 test specimens in total)

•Specimens produced from each panel were used for each Type, to avoid any potential manufacturing bias

Туре	Specimen Number					
A (as-produced)	1-1	2-2	3-3	4-4	1-5	2-6
B (thermally cycled)	2-1	3-2	4-3	1-4	2-5	3-6
C (humid)	3-1	4-2	1-3	2-4	3-5	4-6
D (humid&thermally Cycled)	4-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	1-6







• "Witness" panels were also fabricated. They were instrumented with Ohmic Instruments Model HC-610 capacitive humidity sensors to monitor core humidity levels









•Three witness panels and all Type C and D specimens were placed in the humidity chamber at 65°C (150°F) and 90%RH. Core humidity levels increased to about 80% in one month











•All thermally-cycled specimens (Types B and D) were individually vacuum bagged (to insure constant moisture content in core volume) and subjected to 2-hr thermal cycles from $30^{\circ}C \leftrightarrow -50^{\circ}C$













•The interfacial fracture toughness, G_c , was measured in accordance with the single-cantilever-beam (SCB) test standard being developed by a CMH-17 working group



















•A typical SCB test involves six load cycles

•Crack length is measured after each cycle

• G_c can be calculated using data collected during any one of the six cycles (data from cycle 1 is normally discarded)











One Type C Specimen Damaged Due to Equipment Malfunction



Type C - Humid



Load Cycle













			Average G _c ,
			Normalized
Condition	Ave G _c (J/m ²)	StdDev G _c (J/m ²)	to Type A
Туре А	1508	213	1.00
Туре В	1410	214	0.94
Туре С	1440	142	0.95
Type D	1368	198	0.91







Preliminary Conclusions

•Although significant scatter was evident, it appears that environmental factors (i.e., thermal cycling and/or elevated humidity levels) have a modest but measureable impact on interfacial fracture toughness, G_c ,

•The most aggressive environmental conditions considered during this study (humid specimens exposed to 700 thermal cycles from RT to -50° C) resulted in about a 10% reduction in average G_c .







Outline of Presentation

- Measurement of G_c associated with facesheet/core bond failures in sandwich structures:
 - Single-Cantilever Beam (SCB) test geometry/protocol under development by CMH-17 Task Group
 - Results obtained during 1st year of study (Sept '15-Sept '16) meeting
 - Expanded test matrix for 2nd year of study (ongoing)
- Design and fabrication of GAG specimens and test set-up (ongoing)







Presented at

Oct '16

SCB Tests Planned for 2nd Year of Study

Expanded Test Matrix:

Component	Description
Facesheet	Cytec T300/970 3k Plain Weave Fabric:
	[45/0/0/45] _T
	[0/90/90/0] _T
	[0/45/0] _T
	[0/45/90/45] _s
Core Materials	Hexcel HRH-10-1/8-3.0 (0.50 in thick)
	Hexcel HRH-10-1/8-3.0 (1.00 in thick)
	Hexcel HRH-10-1/8-8.0 (0.50 in thick)
	Hexcel HRH-36-1/8-3.0 (0.50 in thick)
Adhesive	3M Scotch-Weld Structural Film AF 163-2K







SCB Tests Planned for 2nd Year of Study

Expanded Test Matrix:

Component	Description		
Facesheet	Cytec T300/970 3k Plain Weave Fabric:		
	[45/0/0/45] _T		
	[0/90/90/0] _T		
	[0/45/0] _T		
	[0/45/90/45] _s		
Core Materials	Hexcel HRH-10-1/8-3.0 (0.50 in thick)		
	Hexcel HRH-10-1/8-3.0 (1.00 in thick)		
	Hexcel HRH-10-1/8-8.0 (0.50 in thick)		
	Hexcel HRH-36-1/8-3.0 (0.50 in thick)		
Adhesive	3M Scotch-Weld Structural Film AF 163-2K		

- Core materials recently received
- Test conditioning will be limited to "as produced" and "humid + thermally cycled"
- 18 "parent" panels being prepared (108 SCB specimens)







Outline of Presentation

- Measurement of G_c associated with facesheet/core bond failures in sandwich structures:
 - Single-Cantilever Beam (SCB) test geometry/protocol under development by CMH-17 Task Group
 - Results obtained during 1st year of study (Sept '15-Sept '16)
 - Expanded test matrix for 2nd year of study (ongoing)
- Design and fabrication of GAG specimen and test set-up (ongoing)







Presented at

Oct '16

meeting

Design and Fabrication of GAG Specimen and Test Setup

GAG Specimen:









Design and Fabrication of GAG Specimen and Test Setup

GAG specimens must use 1-in core, due to size of commercially-available pressure sensors



Ohmic Instruments Model HC-610 capacitive humidity sensors Range = 5-95 %RH



All Sensors Type MLV-015A-A6-AAF-N pressure sensor with 0-15 psi pressure range (absolute)







Design and Fabrication of GAG Specimen and Test Setup



Design and Fabrication of GAG Specimen and Test Setup



Vacuum Box Nearing Completion







Benefit to Aviation:

Results of the study:

- Will clarify mechanisms leading to initiation and growth of skin-core disbond in sandwich structures
- Will contribute to efforts to establish standard test protocols and data reduction practices for SCB testing of sandwich specimens







Thank You!

Questions, Comments, Suggestions?







End of Presentation.

Thank you.







JOINT ADVANCED MATERIALS & STRUCTURES CENTER OF EXCELLENCE