



Environmental Durability Test Method Development for Composite Bonded Joints

Zachary Sievert Heather McCartin Dan Adams University of Utah

JAMS 2019 Technical Review

May 22-23, 2019

JAMANE D MATERIALS & STRUCTURES



FAA Sponsored Project Information

- Principal Investigators:
 - Dr. Dan Adams
- Graduate Student Researchers: Heather McCartin Zachary Sievert
- FAA Technical Monitor:
 Ahmet Oztekin
- Collaborators:

Boeing, Hexcel, 3M Corp, AFRL ASTM Committees D30 and D14 Composite Materials Handbook, CMH-17





Outline

- Updates:
 - Revision of metal wedge test method (ASTM D3762)
 - ASTM Adhesive Bonding Task Group D14.80.01
 - New adhesives testing content in CMH-17 Handbook
- Primary focus: Environmental durability test methods for composite bonded joints
 - Composite wedge test development
 - "Smart Wedge" traveling wedge test concept





Background:

Revision of the Metal Wedge Test

ASTM D3762: "Standard Test Method for Adhesive-Bonded Surface Durability of Aluminum (Wedge Test)"

- Bonded aluminum double cantilever beam loaded by forcing a wedge between adherends
- Assembly placed into test environment (ex: 50° C, 95% RH)
- Crack growth Δa due to environmental exposure measured following prescribed time
- Able to assess bond quality quickly by causing rapid hydration of oxide layers







Revision of ASTM D3762: Summary of Revised Test Method

- Completed extensive revision of standard
- Two ASTM balloting cycles completed to date; addressing remaining concerns
- Broadening of scope to include metals other than aluminum as adherends
- Provided additional guidance in specimen manufacturing, additional details in test procedure
- Addition of requirement to estimate % cohesion failure in region of environmental crack growth

Percent cohesion failure:

$$\left[1-\left(\frac{A_{nc1}+A_{nc2}}{A_{ext}}\right)\right] x \, 100\%$$



Collaborations with ASTM D14 (Adhesives): Formation of D14.80.01 Task Group

- Includes ASTM D14 (Adhesives) and ASTM D30 (Composites) committee members
- Meets concurrently with ASTM D30 to allow for greater participation
- Balloting through D14.80 subcommittee and D14 main committee
- Technical contact(s) from D30 to attend D14 meetings and provide TG status reports

Current Activities

- ASTM D3762 Metal Wedge Test revision
- ASTM D5656 Thick Adherend Lap Shear Test revision
- Bonded composite fracture mechanics test evaluation
- Composite Wedge Test development/standardization





Current Activities: ASTM D14.80.01 Task Group



Improvements to ASTM D5656–Thick Adherend Lap Shear Test

- Best practices for shear strain measurement
 - Identify suitable replacement(s) for obsolete
 KGR-1 extensometer
 - Optimal attachment points for shear strain measurement
- Initial round-robin investigation completed
- Follow-on round-robin investigation planned
 - AFRL specimens, single film adhesive
 - Evaluation of candidate shear strain measurement methods
- Update ASTM D5656 Standard
- In conjunction with CMH-17



Update of Composite Materials Handbook, CMH-17: Inclusion of Adhesive Test Methods



- Update of (limited) existing content
- Tests used in NIAR Adhesive Characterization Project
 - Thin Metal Adherend Lap Shear
 - Thick Metal Adherend Lap Shear
 - Composite Adherend Lap Shear
 - Floating Roller Peel

- Mode I Fracture Toughness
- Mode II Fracture Toughness
- Metal Adherend Tension
- Fluid Sensitivity
- Other adhesion characterization tests
- Bonded joint characterization tests





Overview:

Development of a Composite Wedge Test:

Additional Complexities:

- Variable flexural rigidity (E_f I) of composite adherends
- Environmental crack growth dependent on adherend flexural rigidity
 - Flexural rigidity must be within an acceptable range or...
 - Must tailor wedge thickness for composite adherends or...
 - Must use another quantity to assess durability







Use of Fracture Toughness, G_c To Assess Environmental Durability

 G_{c} written in terms of flexural modulus, E_{f}

$$G_{c} = \frac{3 \, E_{f} \, t^{2} \, h^{3}}{16 \, a^{4}}$$

- Requires a measurement of flexural modulus *E_f*
 - Can obtain from three-point flexure testing of adherend material
- Requires a measurement of adherend thickness, h
- Requires a correction factor for crack tip rotation

$$G_{c} = \frac{3E_{f} t^{2} h^{3}}{16 a^{4}} \left[\frac{1}{(1+0.64 \frac{h}{a})^{4}} \right]$$
Correction factor for crack tip rotation

10

 G_c = fracture toughness E_f = flexural modulus t = wedge thickness h = adherend thickness a = crack length

Wedge Testing of Multidirectional Laminates: Fracture Toughness Values



- Apparent facture toughness values remain relatively constant
- Provides estimate of fracture toughness at ambient conditions
- G_c values from quasi-isotropic and crossply laminates consistent with results from unidrectional laminates

11

Use of In-Situ Flexural Rigidity From Composite Wedge Test Specimen

 Measure *E_f* / directly using post-tested wedge specimen under DCB type loading:

$$\boldsymbol{E_f} \ \boldsymbol{I} = \frac{2a^3}{3} \left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \delta} \right)$$



- Correction for crack tip rotation
 "built-in" to *E_f I* measurement
- Express fracture toughness in terms of *E_f I*:

$$G_c = \frac{9(E_f I) t^2}{4b a^4}$$

- G_c = fracture toughness
- E_f = flexural modulus
- I = area moment of inertia
- t = wedge thickness
- b = specimen width
- a = crack length





Comparison of Wedge Test and DCB Test Results: 50°C, 95% RH, 5 days



General agreement with both closed-form correction factor and measured E_f I approaches





Evaluation of G_c Calculation Methods: Numerical Simulations

- ABAQUS 3D finite element analysis
- Crack modeled at center of adhesive bondline (cohesion failure)
- Highly refined mesh in vicinity of crack tip
- Displacement loading to simulate wedge inserted in bondline
- Investigation of candidate methods for G_c calculation using results from simulated composite wedge test
- Use of VCCT to calculate reference G_c value







Evaluation of G_c Calculation Methods: Finite Element Results



Flexural modulus values:

- Tensile modulus
- FE simulated flexure test
- FE simulated DCB

G_{Ic} calculated from beam theory:

- w/o correction factor
- w/ correction factor
- Apparent E_fI

Compared to energy release rate at crack tip as analyzed by finite element analysis of wedge test





What if the Wedge Could Measure Opening Force During Wedge Testing?

- Continuous opening displacement reaction force measurement as wedge driven through specimen
 - Two compression load cells to measure opening force
 - Adherends supported by roller bars
- Monitor for drop in measured force
 - Caused by unstable crack growth
 - Reduced fracture toughness
- Similar to traveling wedge test, but with reduced damage to new surface area
- Retain wedge in specimen for environmental durability test









Smart Wedge Testing: Operation & Procedure









"Smart Wedge" Concept: Fracture Toughness Measurement

- G_c written in terms of E_f I: $G_c = \frac{9(E_f I) t^2}{4 b a^4}$
- From beam theory, solving for crack length, a

$$G_c = \left[\frac{9 P^4 t^2}{4 b^3 (E_f I)}\right]^{1/3}$$

- Can calculate G_c knowing:
 - P (measured force)
 - t (opening displacement)
 - Flexural rigidity, E_f I (measured)

Do not need crack length measurement!





 $3 | 3 (E_f I) t$

Smart Wedge Testing:

Digital Image Correlation (DIC) for J-Integral

 Smart Wedge testing with DIC for J-Integral uses a formula derived for DCB specimens →

$$J_{IC} = \frac{12P^2a^2(1-\nu_{31}^2)}{b^2E_1h^3} + \frac{P\omega}{b}$$









Smart Wedge Results: Comparison of Experimental G_I, J_I



• 3 tests performed on each bonded composite specimen





Evaluation of G_c Calculation Methods: Numerical Simulations

- Smart Wedge specimens modeled in ABAQUS
- Reduced computation time
 - Reducing unused length of specimen
 - Symmetry about midplane
 - Only one adherend and half adhesive layer
- Investigate differences in J and G values associated with crack growth







Smart Wedge Results: Comparison of Numerical G_I, J_I



- G_I, J_I determined from simulation of Smart Wedge testing
- Simulation of initial crack growth only (one crack length)





Smart Wedge Results: Comparison of Experimental & Numerical G_I, J_I



- 3 tests performed on each bonded composite specimen
- Numerical modeling of J, G shown without error bars





Smart Wedge Testing:

Specimen Manufacturing with Weak Bond Regions

- Evaluate use of Smart Wedge to detect regions of weak bonds
- Variable levels of grit blasting on prescribed regions of one adherend
- Standard adhesive
 application and cure







Smart Wedge Test Results: Detection of Weak Bond Regions



- Significant reduction in Gc associated with weak bond region
- Further testing to focus on less significant degradations in bond strength





BENEFITS TO AVIATION

- Improved environmental durability test method for metal bonds (metal wedge test, ASTM D3762)
- Improved shear test method for adhesives (ASTM D5656)
- Composite wedge test for assessing the environmental durability of composite bonds and assessing surface preparations
- Hybrid traveling wedge/static wedge test for evaluation of larger bond areas
- Dissemination of research results through FAA technical reports and conference/journal publications





Questions?

Don't forget to fill out the feedback form in your packet or online at www.surveymonkey.com/r/jamsfeedback

Thank you.





